## ument 1 Filed 03/17/20 Page 1 of 16 VERSHEET 20-04-1461

JS 44 (Rev. 02/19)

LEOVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information collained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY as assignee and also HG ASB PROPERTY, LLC and any other entity, including ASB Real Estate Investments Master Insurance Program and any subsidiary, that has received, directly or indirectly, benefits under policy number YAC-1.9L-457553-018				DEFENDANTS THE VIKING CORPORATION; VIKING GROUP, INC.; SUPPLY NETWORK, INC. dib/a VIKING SUPPLYNET and VIKING SUPPLY NET; VIKING PLASTICS LLC a/N/a KT PLASTICS LLC and VIKING CPVC; and S.A. COMUNALE CO., INC.			
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Boston, MA				County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Barry County, MI			
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)				(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASÉS ONLY)  NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED/			
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	m)		Attorneys (If Known)	(		
	elmann, Esquire, Whit					a time and the second s	
1650 Market Stree Philadelphia, PA 1		864-6334			The state of the s	all records and the second	
II. BASIS OF JURISDI					RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintif	
U.S. Government  Plaintiff  (U.S. Government Not a Party)					TF DEF  1 □ 1 Incorporated or Pr  of Business In 1		
1 2 U.S. Government Defendant	🕇 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizensh	ip of Parties in Item III)	Citize	en of Another State	2 Incorporated and of Business In		
				en or Subject of a  reign Country	3 🗇 3 Foreign Nation	0606	
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		oly) PRTS	a la mo	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature of BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance   120 Marine   130 Miller Act   140 Negotiable Instrument   150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment   151 Medicare Act   152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans)   153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits   160 Stockholders' Suits   190 Other Contract   195 Contract Product Liability   196 Franchise   REAL PROPERTY   20 Land Condemnation   220 Foreclosure   230 Rent Lease & Ejectment   240 Torts to Land   245 Tort Product Liability   290 All Other Real Property	PERSONAL INJURY  310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 320 Assault, Libel & Slander 330 Federal Employers' Liability 340 Marine 345 Marine Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 350 Motor Vehicle Product Liability 360 Other Personal Injury 362 Personal Injury Medical Malpractice CIVIL RIGHTS 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting 442 Employment 443 Housing/ Accommodations 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	PERSONAL INJURY  365 Personal Injury - Product Liability  367 Health Care/ Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability  368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability  PERSONAL PROPERT  370 Other Fraud  371 Truth in Lending  380 Other Personal Property Damage Product Liability  PRISONER PETITIONS  Habeas Corpus:  463 Alien Detainee  510 Motions to Vacate Sentence  530 General  535 Death Penalty Other:  540 Mandamus & Other	☐ 62 ☐ 69 ☐ 71 ☐ 72 ☐ 74 ☐ 79 ☐ 79	5 Drug Related Seizure of Property 21 USC 881 0 Other  LABOR 0 Fair Labor Standards Act 0 Labor/Management Relations 0 Railway Labor Act 1 Family and Medical Leave Act 0 Other Labor Litigation 1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act  IMMIGRATION 2 Naturalization Application 5 Other Immigration Actions	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 □ 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157  PROPERTY RIGHTS □ 820 Copyrights □ 830 Patent □ 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application □ 840 Trademark ■ SOCIAL SECURITY □ 861 HIA (1395ff) □ 862 Black Lung (923) □ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) □ 864 SSID Title XVI □ 865 RSI (405(g))  FEDERAL TAX SUITS □ 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) □ 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	□ 375 False Claims Act □ 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) □ 400 State Reapportionment □ 410 Antitust □ 430 Banks and Banking □ 450 Commerce □ 460 Deportation □ 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations □ 480 Consumer Credit □ 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act □ 490 Cable/Sat TV □ 850 Securities/Commodities/ Exchange □ 890 Other Statutory Actions □ 891 Agricultural Acts □ 893 Environmental Matters □ 895 Freedom of Information Act □ 896 Arbitration □ 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision □ 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes	
	☐ 448 Education	☐ 555 Prison Condition☐ 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement					
	noved from	Appellate Court	Reop	(specify)	r District Litigation Transfer		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTIO		tute under which you are 3)(1)	filing (D	o not eite jurisdictional stati	utes unless diversity):		
<u> </u>	Subrogation - stri	ct liability and neglige					
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:	☐ CHECK IF THIS UNDER RULE 2:	IS A CLASS ACTION 3, F.R.Cv.P.		EMAND \$ \$869,342.12	CHECK YES only JURY DEMAND:	if demanded in complaint:  X Yes □ No	
VIII. RELATED CASE IF ANY	(See instructions):	JUDGE	1/			MAR 1 2020	
DATE March 16, 2020		SIGNATURE OF ATTO	NEY O	F RECORD			
FOR OFFICE USE ONLY RECEIPT # AM	OUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUD	oge.	

JUDGE

MAG, JUDGE

# Case 2:20-cv-01461-CDJ Document 1 Filed 03/17/20 Page 2 of 16 FOR THE FASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA



1461

Address of Plaintiff: 175 Berkeley Street, Boston, Massachusetts 0	)2116	
Address of Defendant, 210 N. Industrial Park Drive, Hastings, Michig	gan 49058	
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction: 1213 Walnut Street, Philadelphia	a, Pennsylvania 19107	
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:		
Case Number: Judge:	Date Terminated:	
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:		
<ol> <li>Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?</li> </ol>	Yes No	
<ol> <li>Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit pending or within one year previously terminated action in this court?</li> </ol>	Yes No No	
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?	Yes No No	
1. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights  Yes  No  No		
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case is / is not related to my case now pending or very this court except as noted above.  DATE: Must bign here  Automiy-at-Law/Pro Se Planniff	within one year previously terminated action in  58927  Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only)		
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction C	Cases:	
2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal 3. Jones Act-Personal Injury 2. Airplane Personal 4. Antitrust 2. Marine Personal 5. Patent 2. Motor Vehicle Personal 6. Labor-Management Relations 2. Motor Vehicle Personal 7. Civil Rights 2. Motor Vehicle Personal 1. The products Liability 1. Products Lia	ation 1 Injury Personal Injury Injury <i>(Please specify):</i> ity — Asbestos	
ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION		
(The effect of this certification is to remove the case from eligibility.  I, Christopher Konzelmann counsel of record or pro se plaintiff, do hereby certify:  Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the deexceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs:  Relief other than monetary damages is sought.		
	i	
DATE: March 16, 2020 Sign here if applicable	58927  Attorney I.D. # (if applicable)	

#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

#### CASE MANAGEMENT TRACK DESIGNATION FORM

CIVIL ACTION	The second	Ą	6	1
NO				

In accordance with the Civil Justice Expense and Delay Reduction Plan of this court, counsel for plaintiff shall complete a Case Management Track Designation Form in all civil cases at the time of filing the complaint and serve a copy on all defendants. (See § 1:03 of the plan set forth on the reverse side of this form.) In the event that a defendant does not agree with the plaintiff regarding said designation, that defendant shall, with its first appearance, submit to the clerk of court and serve on the plaintiff and all other parties, a Case Management Track Designation Form specifying the track to which that defendant believes the case should be assigned.

### SELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING CASE MANAGEMENT TRACKS:

٧.

Telenhone	FAX Number	E-Mail Address	Millionin co				
215-864-6334	215-789-7636	Konzelmannco	White and Williams, com				
Date	Attorney-at-law	Attorney for					
3-9-20	Christopher Konzelmann	PLAINTIFF					
(f) Standard Management -	- Cases that do not fall into any one o	of the other tracks.					
e) Special Management – Cases that do not fall into tracks (a) through (d) that are commonly referred to as complex and that need special or intense management by the court. (See reverse side of this form for a detailed explanation of special management cases.)							
(d) Asbestos – Cases involved exposure to asbestos.	( )						
(c) Arbitration – Cases requ	. ( )						
(b) Social Security – Cases and Human Services de	( )						
(a) Habeas Corpus – Cases	Habeas Corpus – Cases brought under 28 U.S.C. § 2241 through § 2255.						

(Civ. 660) 10/02



#### IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

#### FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

EMPLOYERS INSURANCE COMPANY OF WAUSAU as assignee and a/s/o HG ASB PROPERTY, LLC and any other entity, including ASB Real Estate Investments Master Insurance Program and any subsidiary, that has received, directly or indirectly, benefits under policy number YAC-L9L-457553-018

CIVIL ACTION

20

1461

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Plaintiff,

v.

THE VIKING CORPORATION;
VIKING GROUP, INC.;
SUPPLY NETWORK, INC. d/b/a VIKING
SUPPLYNET and VIKING SUPPLY NET;
VIKING PLASTICS LLC a/k/a KT PLASTICS LLC
and VIKING CPVC; and
S.A. COMUNALE CO., INC.

Defendants.

#### **COMPLAINT**

1. Plaintiff, Employers Insurance Company of Wausau ("Plaintiff" or "Wausau"), proceeding as assignee and subrogee of HG ASB Property, LLC and any other entity, including ASB Real Estate Investments Master Insurance Program and any subsidiary, that has received, directly or indirectly, benefits under policy number YAC-L9L-457553-018 (collectively "HG ASB"), is a Wisconsin insurance company with a principal place of business located at 175 Berkeley Street, Boston, Massachusetts 02116. At all times relevant hereto, Wausau was in the business of selling insurance and was authorized to issue insurance policies covering properties in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

- 2. At all times material hereto, Wausau insured the interests of HG ASB in the real and personal property located at 1213 Walnut Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19107 ("Subject Premises") through policy number YAC-L9L-457553-018 ("Policy"), effective April 1, 2018 to April 1, 2019.
- 3. Defendant The Viking Corporation ("Viking Corp.") is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of business located at 210 N. Industrial Park Drive, Hastings, Michigan 49058. Viking Corp.'s Registered Agent is Janice A. Oshinski, 5150 Beltway Dr. SE, Caledonia, Michigan 49316.
- 4. At all times material hereto, Viking Corp. was in the business of designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, labeling, distributing, fabricating and/or selling CPVC piping.
- 5. Defendant Viking Group, Inc. ("Viking Group") is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of business located at 3033 Orchard Vista Drive, Suite 308, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49546. Viking Group's Registered Agent is Janice A. Oshinski, 5150 Beltway Dr. SE, Caledonia, Michigan 49316.
- 6. At all times material hereto, Viking Group was in the business of designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, labeling, distributing, fabricating and/or selling CPVC piping.
- 7. Defendant Supply Network, Inc. ("Supply Network" or "Viking SupplyNet"), doing business as Viking SupplyNet and Viking Supply Net, is a Michigan corporation with its principal place of business located at 3033 Orchard Vista Drive, Suite 308, Grand Rapids, Michigan 49546. Supply Network's Registered Agent is Janice Oshinski, 5150 Beltway Dr. SE, Caledonia, Michigan 49316.

- 8. Upon information and belief, Supply Network is authorized to do business in Pennsylvania under the names Viking Supply Net and Viking SupplyNet and its registered agent in Pennsylvania is CT Corporation System, 600 North 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 401, Harrisburg, Pennsylvania 17101.
- 9. At all times material hereto, Supply Network was in the business of designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, labeling, distributing, fabricating and/or selling CPVC piping.
- 10. Defendant Viking Plastics LLC a/k/a KT Plastics LLC and Viking CPVC ("Viking Plastics") is a Michigan limited liability company. Upon information and belief, Viking Plastic's principal place of business is located at 202 Industrial Drive, Huntsville, Alabama 35811. Viking Plastic's registered agent is Jamie Halfmann, 5150 Beltway Dr. SE, Caledonia, Michigan 49316.
- 11. At all times material thereto, Viking Plastics was in the business of designing, manufacturing, assembling, marketing, labeling, distributing, fabricating, and/or selling CPVC piping. Hereinafter, Viking Corp., Viking Group, Supply Network and Viking Plastics are referred to, collectively, as "Viking."
- 12. Defendant S.A. Comunale Co., Inc. ("Comunale") is an Ohio corporation. Upon information and belief, Comunale's principal office is located at 2900 Newpark Drive, Barberton, Ohio 44203. Comunale is registered to do business in Pennsylvania and its registered agent for service of process is Corporation Service Company PA, located in Dauphin County. Upon information and belief, Corporation Service Company's address is 2595 Interstate Drive, Suite 103, Harrisburg, PA 17110.

13. At all times material hereto, Comunale was in the business of, among other things, selling and installing mechanical, fire protection and HVAC systems, including sprinkler systems.

#### **JURISDICTION AND VENUE**

- 14. There is complete diversity of citizenship among the parties.
- 15. Viking, acting directly or via an agent, sold, distributed, marketed and/or delivered CPVC piping to customers in, among other places, Pennsylvania.
- 16. At all times material hereto, Viking, directly or through its agents, engaged in substantial, continuous, systematic and non-isolated business activity in Pennsylvania.
- 17. Viking contracted to supply services and/or things in Pennsylvania and caused harm in Pennsylvania.
  - 18. The incident at issue arose out of Viking's contacts with Pennsylvania.
- 19. On or about November 3, 2015, Comunale, acting directly or via an agent, contracted with Hunter Roberts Construction Group, LLC ("Hunter Roberts") to, among other things, install the sprinkler system at the Subject Premises.
- 20. This Court has jurisdiction over Supply Network and Comunale because, among other reasons, they registered to do business in Pennsylvania and, thus, consented to jurisdiction.
- 21. The amount in controversy exceeds, exclusive of costs and interest, the sum set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 1332.
- 22. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 as well as supplemental jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 23. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391, venue in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Pennsylvania is proper as the incident giving rise to the cause of action occurred in this district. See 28 U.S.C. § 118.

#### **FACTS**

- 24. On or about October 21, 2015, Hunter Roberts contracted with HG ASB to act as the general contractor for the construction of the Subject Premises, a mixed use apartment project.
- 25. On or about November 16, 2015, Hunter Roberts subcontracted with Comunale for Comunale to complete the Fire Protection work for the project. The work including supplying and installing the sprinkler system.
- 26. Comunale installed the sprinkler system at the Subject Premises, including the sprinkler system piping that ran behind the bedroom wall in Unit 503.
- 27. Upon information and belief, tenants started moving into the lower floors of the building in July of 2017 and construction was complete in or around January of 2018.
- 28. On or about February 6, 2019, the BlazeMaster® (a/k/a Viking Plastics BlazeMaster®) CPVC sprinkler pipe behind the wall in Unit 503 catastrophically failed (the "Incident").
  - 29. Water from the failed pipe damaged the building and displaced tenants.
  - 30. The pipe failed because of manufacturing defects and/or installation errors.
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of the Incident, Plaintiff's insured suffered damage to its real and personal property, including extra expenses, business income loss, and consequential damages in the amount of \$869,342.12.
- 32. After the Incident, Plaintiff's subrogor submitted a claim to Plaintiff for the damages arising from the water leak.
- 33. Plaintiff, pursuant to the terms of the Policy, paid benefits to or on behalf of its insured subrogor.

- 34. Pursuant to the terms of the Policy, HB ASB assigned its rights of recovery against third parties, including the defendants, to Plaintiff. In addition, Plaintiff is equitably and/or conventionally subrogated, to the extent of its payments, to HB ASB's rights against third parties, including the defendants.
- 35. At all times material hereto, Viking acted through its agents, servants, representatives, subcontractors, subagents and/or workmen, all of whom acted within the course and scope of their employment and/or under the control of Viking.
- 36. At all times material hereto, Comunale acted through its agents, servants, representatives, subcontractors, subagents and/or workmen, all of whom acted within the course and scope of their employment and/or under the control of Comunale.

## COUNT I – STRICT LIABILITY PLAINTIFF v. VIKING

- 37. Plaintiff incorporates the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs as if set forth at length herein.
- 38. Viking had a duty to design, manufacture, assemble, label, distribute, fabricate, sell and/or otherwise supply a sprinkler pipe product that was free from defects and safe for its intended use.
- 39. Viking designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied the sprinkler pipe in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition.
- 40. At the time Viking designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied the sprinkler pipe, it was reasonably foreseeable that it would fail and cause a water loss resulting in damage to the property of consumers or users.

- 41. The seriousness of this type of injury outweighed any additional burden that might have been experienced in properly designing, manufacturing, assembling, labeling, distributing, fabricating, selling and/or otherwise supplying the sprinkler pipe to avoid the type of failure that occurred.
- 42. The sprinkler pipe's defective and unreasonably dangerous condition was unknowable and unacceptable to consumers, i.e., did not meet consumer expectations.
- 43. Viking knew or had reason to know that builders, contractors and/or end users such as Plaintiff's insured would not realize the sprinkler pipe's defective and unreasonably dangerous condition.
- 44. When the Incident occurred, Plaintiff's insured was not aware of the sprinkler pipe's defective condition.
- 45. At the time of the Incident, the sprinkler pipe was in the same dangerously defective condition it was in when it left Viking's possession and/or control.
- 46. The sprinkler pipe was defective and unreasonably dangerous in one or more of the following ways:
  - (a) Viking did not warn users of the dangers associated with the sprinkler pipe;
  - (b) it was not designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, fabricated, distributed, sold and/or otherwise supplied in a condition that was suitable for all reasonably foreseeable uses;
  - (c) it failed to perform as represented and expected;
  - (d) it was manufactured in a manner that allowed environmental stress cracking;

- (e) it was manufactured in a manner that was not uniform throughout and which was inconsistent with ASTM F442;
- (f) it was manufactured in a manner that created voids and/or allowed hydrocarbons to absorb into the pipe; and
- (g) it was manufactured in a manner that made it susceptible to trace hydrocarbons that Viking knew or should have known would be present in the water.
- 47. If the sprinkler pipe was properly designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied, the water loss that occurred during the Incident would not have happened.
- 48. The defective condition of the sprinkler pipe was a substantial factor and direct and proximate cause of the Incident and the damages to Plaintiff's insured's real and personal property.
  - 49. Viking is strictly liable for all damage caused by the defective sprinkler pipe.
- 50. Plaintiff, pursuant to the terms of the Policy, paid benefits to or on behalf of its insured subrogor.
- 51. Pursuant to the terms of the Policy, HB ASB assigned its rights of recovery against third parties, including Viking, to Plaintiff. In addition, Plaintiff is equitably and/or conventionally subrogated, to the extent of its payments, to HB ASB's rights against third parties, including Viking.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that judgment be entered in its favor and against Defendants The Viking Corporation; Viking Group, Inc.; Supply Network, Inc. d/b/a Viking SupplyNet and Viking Supply Net; Viking Plastics (a/k/a KT Plastics LLC and Viking CPVC),

jointly and/or severally, in the amount of \$869,342.12 together with interest, costs, and such other relief deemed just and proper.

#### COUNT II – NEGLIGENCE PLAINTIFF V. VIKING

- 52. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.
- 53. Viking had a duty to act as a reasonable manufacturer, assembler, labeler, distributor, fabricator, seller and/or supplier to ensure that the sprinkler pipe was free from defects and safe for its intended use.
- 54. Viking had a duty to take reasonable steps to design, manufacture, assemble, label, distribute, fabricate, sell and/or supply the sprinkler pipe so that it would not fail.
- 55. Viking designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied the sprinkler pipe in a defective and unreasonably dangerous condition.
- 56. At the time Viking designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied the sprinkler pipe, it was reasonably foreseeable that it would fail and cause a water loss resulting in damage to consumers or users, including Plaintiff's insured.
- 57. Viking had a duty not to supply a sprinkler pipe that it knew or should have known would be used by builders, contractors and/or end users who would not realize the sprinkler pipe's dangerous condition.
- 58. Viking knew or had reason to know Plaintiff's insured would not realize the sprinkler pipe's defective and unreasonably dangerous condition.

- 59. Viking, by its acts or omissions, failed to act with reasonable care and breached its duty to Plaintiff's insured, foreseeable users of Viking's products, including the sprinkler pipe, in one or more of the following ways:
  - (a) it did not give adequate warnings or instructions as to the risks and hazards of a sudden discharge;
  - (b) it did not warn users of the dangers associated with the sprinkler pipe;
  - (c) it designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied the sprinkler pipe in a condition that was not suitable for all reasonably foreseeable uses;
  - (d) it failed to ensure that the sprinkler pipe performed as represented and expected;
  - (e) it failed to inspect the sprinkler pipe after its manufacture;
  - (f) it inadequately and/or improperly inspected the sprinkler pipe after its manufacture;
  - (g) it manufactured the sprinkler pipe in such a manner that allowed environmental stress cracking;
  - (h) it manufactured the sprinkler pipe in a manner that was not uniform throughout and which was inconsistent with ASTM F442;
  - (i) it manufactured the sprinkler pipe in a manner that created voids and/or allowed hydrocarbons to absorb into the pipe;
  - (j) it manufactured the sprinkler pipe in a manner that made it susceptible to trace hydrocarbons that Viking knew or should have known would be present in the water; and

- (k) it failed to correct the defect in the sprinkler pipe.
- 60. If the sprinkler pipe was properly designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied, the water loss that occurred during the Incident would not have happened.
- 61. The defective condition of the sprinkler pipe was a substantial factor and direct and proximate cause of the Incident and damages to Plaintiff's insured's real and personal property.
- 62. Plaintiff, pursuant to the terms of the Policy, paid benefits to or on behalf of its insured subrogor.
- 63. Pursuant to the terms of the Policy, HB ASB assigned its rights of recovery against third parties, including Viking, to Plaintiff. In addition, Plaintiff is equitably and/or conventionally subrogated, to the extent of its payments, to HB ASB's rights against third parties, including Viking.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that judgment be entered in its favor and against Defendants The Viking Corporation; Viking Group, Inc.; Supply Network, Inc. d/b/a Viking SupplyNet and Viking Supply Net; Viking Plastics LLC a/k/a KT Plastics LLC and Viking CPVC, jointly and/or severally, in the amount of \$869,342.12 together with interest, costs, and such other relief deemed just and proper.

## COUNT III – STRICT LIABILITY PLAINTIFF V. COMUNALE

- 64. Plaintiff incorporates the preceding paragraphs as if set forth fully herein.
- 65. Comunale is engaged in the business of selling, distributing, and supplying sprinkler systems and their component parts, including CPVC sprinkler pipe.

- 66. Comunale sold, distributed, and/or otherwise supplied the CPVC sprinkler pipe at issue.
- 67. The CPVC sprinkler pipe was in a defective condition, unreasonably dangerous to expected users when it left Comunale's possess and/or control
- 68. The CPVC sprinkler pipe was expected to and did reach the Subject Premises without significant change in the condition in which it was sold, distributed and/or supplied.
- 69. When the Incident occurred, Plaintiff's insured was not aware of the sprinkler pipe's defective condition.
- 70. The sprinkler pipe was defective and unreasonably dangerous in one or more of the following ways:
  - (a) it was not designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, fabricated, distributed, sold and/or otherwise supplied in a condition that was suitable for all reasonably foreseeable uses;
  - (b) it failed to perform as represented and expected;
  - (c) it was manufactured in a manner that allowed environmental stress cracking;
  - (d) it was manufactured in a manner that was not uniform throughout and which was inconsistent with ASTM F442; and
  - (e) it was manufactured in a manner that created voids and/or allowed hydrocarbons to absorb into the pipe;
- 71. If the sprinkler pipe was properly designed, manufactured, assembled, labeled, distributed, fabricated, sold and/or otherwise supplied, the water loss that occurred during the Incident would not have happened.

72. The defective condition of the sprinkler pipe was a substantial factor and direct and proximate cause of the Incident and the damages to Plaintiff's insured's real and personal

property.

73. Comunale, as a product seller or distributor, is strictly liable for all damage

caused by the defective sprinkler pipe.

74. Plaintiff, pursuant to the terms of the Policy, paid benefits to or on behalf of its

insured subrogor.

75. Pursuant to the terms of the Policy, HB ASB assigned its rights of recovery

against third parties, including Comunale, to Plaintiff. In addition, Plaintiff is equitably and/or

conventionally subrogated, to the extent of its payments, to HB ASB's rights against third

parties, including Comunale.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands that judgment be entered in its favor and against

Defendant S.A. Comunale Co., Inc., jointly and/or severally, in the amount of \$869,342.12

together with interest, costs, and such other relief deemed just and proper.

**JURY DEMAND** 

Plaintiff requests a jury on all issues presented in this matter.

WHITE AND WILLIAMS LLP

BY:

Christopher Konzelmann, Esq.

1650 Market Street | One Liberty Place,

Suite 1800 |

Philadelphia, PA 19103-7395

Phone: 215.864.6334

konzelmannc@whiteandwilliams.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

Dated: March 16, 2020